

TELT November 2018 Examiners' Report

1. Introduction

25 candidates sat for the TELT November 2018 examination session. 16 candidates were awarded Pass grades or higher. This is the equivalent of a 64% pass rate which is almost identical to the 63.4% pass rate of the candidates who sat the examination in May 2018, registering an increase of 0.6%.

The grades for the written paper are listed below:

0 Distinction

1 Pass with merit

15 Pass

5 Narrow Fail

4 Fail

0 Unclassified

2. General Remarks

Overall, candidate performance in the November 2018 TELT session was very comparable to that in the previous session held in 2018. Candidates performed well in Sections A and C in Part One and Sections A, B, D and E in Part Two. Performance was adequate to good in other sections, while, as usual, candidates seem to have struggled with Part One Section D. The Writing Section still poses a challenge for some candidates who struggled with the format conventions of the text type they selected (this was especially true for the Report question); weaknesses regarding lexis, collocation and structure were more noticeable in this section. A certain lack of punctuation and paragraphing were also evident. The presentation of many essays, moreover, left much to be desired.

3. Section Analysis

Part 1 Language Description, Sensitivity and Awareness

Part 1 Section A – Language Terminology

In Section A Part 1, the average pass mark was relatively high for this section registering just under 70%. This, however, indicates a 7% decrease when compared to the last examination session. Many candidates demonstrated just an adequate general knowledge of language terms. Candidates who struggled in this area mostly did so with the lexical items. It is advisable for all candidates to adequately prepare themselves for the exam in terms of learning the grammar and terminology. Candidates who did well were likely to have been well prepared in terms of grammar and lexis.

Part 1 Section B – Primary Stress Identification

Part 1 Section C – Transcription of Phonemic Script into Normal Spelling

Part 1 Section D – Transcription into Phonemic Script

Candidate performance was quite good in Section B (66.4%) and very good in Section C (82.4%). When compared to those registered in the May 2018 session, these percentages indicate a slight decrease for Section B (1.6%) and a significant increase of 14.4% increase in Section C. Some marks were lost due to bad spelling, particularly of even common words like *'adventurous'*, *'touchy'* and *'stubborn'*. The fact that candidates can generally recognise primary word stress and decipher words transcribed phonemically was, once again, counterbalanced by a very poor performance in Section D. As in most of the previous examination sessions, Section D clearly remains the most challenging examination task for TELT candidates. Candidate performance registered a very low average pass rate at 32.8%, with a number of candidates being awarded 0 – 2 marks for this section.

Once again, the examiners would like to impress upon centres and trainers preparing candidates for this exam the importance of teaching pronunciation and using the phonemic script as a teaching aid in the classroom, especially with a view of reinforcing learner autonomy.

Part 1 Section E – Odd One Out

Overall, performance in this section was clearly poorer than in the previous session with an average pass mark of 52.5%, this being 5.5% lower than in the last session. Most candidates continue to struggle with terminology and found this task to be quite challenging. The level of difficulty was spread across the task. In some cases, it seemed that candidates were not prepared for the task. There were candidates who correctly selected the odd one out, said why it was the odd one out compared to the remaining sentences, but left out what they all had in common. Some candidates presented only half the answer and/or were not explicit enough in their answer so that the examiner had to make an assumption. Candidates who could correctly identify the odd one out but came up with a plausible reason for another possible answer still gained marks. Candidates who did not follow the rubric and therefore did not cover the three points – identifying the odd one out, noting what they all have in common, and saying why the odd one out was different from the rest – lost marks. Candidates had most difficulty with the following: phonology – identifying what all had in common and what sound was the odd one out – and transitive/intransitive verbs. Some candidates had some difficulty with the following: distinguishing past simple from past participle forms; distinguishing the subject pronoun from the object pronoun; identifying 'have' when used as an auxiliary verb and when used as a lexical verb.

Part 2 Language Proficiency

Part 2 Section A – Identifying and Correcting Errors

The average pass mark for this section was 77.8%, a noticeable improvement over the just satisfactory 63%, from the previous session. Most errors had to do with failing to identify misspelt words, wrong formation of words, and wrong choice of words. Moreover, errors and corrections candidates made seemed to indicate weakness of idiom and collocation. Candidates had most difficulty with the following: correct forms of words and punctuation. Students had some difficulty with collocation and spelling. Examiners believe that examination preparation trainers would do well to remind candidates to follow the rubric: identify the error by underlining the target error and writing ONLY the corrected word(s).

Part 2 Section B – Word Formation

In this section, candidates averaged a high pass mark of 80.4%, almost a 5% increase over the previous session. On this occasion, apart from some words which were not reformulated correctly, valuable marks were lost due to poor spelling in a number of instances, in particular ‘*disbelief*’ (No. 1), ‘*triumphant*’ (No. 8) and ‘*extraordinary*’ (No. 10).

Part 2 Section C – Cloze Test – Selective Deletion

The majority of candidates performed adequately in what is usually one of the most challenging sections in the exam with an average pass mark of 66.8%; this is very close to that registered in the May 2018 session (65%). Candidates who came up with plausible lexical options gained marks. Candidates who read regularly and broaden their range of collocation and commonly used phrases would fare well in this section.

Part 2 Section D – Sentence Transformation

Candidates registered a good 71.6% average pass mark, which was much higher than the previous session which just reached 58%. Marks were lost mostly due to awkward sentence construction, misspelt words, non-existent collocations and a certain unease with the Use of English sentence transformation task.

Part 2 Section E – Writing Section

Overall, performance in this section was adequate with a 71% pass mark, a 2% decrease over the pass mark in the past examination session. Most of the essays were fairly average. There were some weak samples and a few that demonstrated a level of expression being far from that of a model writer of English.

In the weaker essays, there were recurrent instances where marks were deducted for poor spelling, awkward sentence construction, a lack of idiomatic language, poor cohesion, and frequent occurrences of wrong collocation. There were some instances of the content being (far) below the expected level in terms of range of lexis and maturity.

Candidates are advised to revise their writing and make any necessary corrections before handing the paper in. The examiners remarked on the shoddiness in the presentation of the two written tasks rendering some essays almost illegible. Even though candidates are writing under examination conditions, they should still pay some attention to presentation of their work.

4. Recommendations

As with previous reports, more focus and attention to phonology, in particular, the phonemic script, is encouraged. The lack of familiarity with the phonemic script is a matter of concern and, examiners feel, continues to arise from the fact that candidates fail to recognise its usefulness in

and out of class for their learners. This remains be an area that trainers should address more forcibly in future TELT Preparation courses. The necessity to spell correctly and the use of correct combinations of collocation, needs to be impressed upon candidates. Trainers are encouraged to provide candidates with opportunities to improve their writing skills in the various text types and their respective writing conventions. Correct punctuation and conventions for paragraphing should also be focused on. Lastly, candidates should be encouraged to dedicate time for regular reading practice as this will increase their exposure to modern English and serve as a valuable model for their own writing.

In preparation of the writing section of the exam, examiners suggest that candidates dedicate more time to quality reading, with reading being a model for writing as well as a means by which candidates broaden their range of lexis and structures. Reading a variety of texts would also serve to help students develop their creativity.